G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1891

191

(A - R.)

Later references.

File No. 66/91

Serial Nos. 1 - 10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 10

Register ~~Part~~ B for

SUBJECT.

Deposit on Sayer Rahadharies.

Turned

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-15

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

NP 60-11

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Deposit on Sayar Rahadaries.

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 418 dated 4th October 1893 from Deputy Commr., Mysore.

Paper No 1

In July 1892 the Deputy Commr. of Shemoga mooted the question of levying a deposit of Rs 5 each on Sayar Rahadaries as is done in the case of Jangadi bark, and stated that under the existing practice the rahadaries were not shewn at the

nor were they given up
~~and were hardly ever given up~~
at the final Kattles, the
merchants pleading some
excuses. Failures of this
kind having become very
frequent occurrence, he
opined that some provision
should be made to punish
the defaulters. Accordingly
he proposed the levy of 5 Rs. of a deposit
which he said might be forfeited
to Govt. if the rahadaries
were not returned.

2. In the case of licenses issued for Tangadi bark a deposit of Rs 5 is received, which the license holder forfeits if he ~~should~~ fails to return the license in time. This deposit is ~~of~~ ~~course~~ in addition to the license fee

vide Nos. 2 & 4
Reference section
Detailed ... [illegible] Rs 1205
... 3439 dt 19-3-97 for Rs 430
259
... the Collector ...

3. In circulating the letter of the Shemoga Deputy

Paper No 2.

Commrs ~~Bellary~~ No. 276 of 264 of the 13th June 1892. — referred to in para 1 above — the Government remarked, in Pro:- No. 9266-73 of 1175-92 of 19/12/92, that the proposal would add to the inconvenience of the Supari exporters as they ~~also~~ will have to come back all the way to receive their deposit amounts. ~~If the above proposal is approved~~. A suggestion was thrown out whether the Manégars of the final Kattes to whom the rahadaries should be delivered could be safely entrusted with the power to refund the deposits paid elsewhere.

4. The Dy Commrs of Bangalore and Kadur &

Paper
No 3 & 6

are opposed to the proposal,
those of Kolar, Tumkur
and Chitaldrug are in
favor. While the Dy Comr.
of Hassan takes a different
view of the question, the
Dy Comr. of Mysore
gives no opinion, but
only gives a summary
of what his assistants
and Amildars say.

No 4 5 & 7

No 11

No 16.

5. The reasons for veto
are, the consequences of a
Rahadari not being returned
are somewhat exaggerated,
there will be
inconvenience to parties;
Complicity of cash accounts,
advances to Katte Managers
who again should be forced
to give security. In lieu
of the cash system of deposits
it is proposed that per-
sonal security should be
demanded, the security

being a resident of the place and agreeing to pay a fixed sum per maund.

No 6 a

Forms of security bonds are also submitted.

6. Reasons in favor of the proposal are more or less the same as those advanced by the Shemoga Dy Commr. It is suggested that ~~the~~

(1) deposits may be kept in the Taluk Treasuries where the merchant resides or takes his pass.

(2) The rahadaries may be returned by post or otherwise and the merchants may be allowed to receive back their deposits within 6 months

(3). Below 10 maunds 2 Rs. may be levied and

above 10 maunds 5 Rs
may be levied.

7. The Dy. Comm^r of Hassan thinks that 5 Rs are hardly enough, in the case of large Consignments. With the connivance of lower subordinates a pass is obtained for only a small portion of the consignment while the smuggled quantity representing the difference may be more than Rs 5 and the duplicate copy containing the correct quantity which will reach the place of destination will ~~xx~~ ~~xxx~~ make the merchants a criminal if he returns the original. In such cases it would be to the interest of the merchant to forgo a deposit of Rs 5. In the case of small Consignments

No 11

3

a deposit of Rs 5 would disable a petty merchant from carrying his 2 or 3 maunds to sell in the weekly fairs. He therefore suggests a fine on each defaulter by summoning him and recovering the fine.

Paper No 16.

8. Of the opinions embodied in the Myore Dy Commrs letter No 418 of 4th October 1893, some Amildars are in favor of the proposal while others are opposed to it. It is suggested that a foot-Note be added in the Rahadaries to the effect that whoever fails to return the Rahadary in time will be prosecuted as in the

in the case of date leaves, bamboos, &c removed from Jungles. According to old rules, in all cases of smuggling the Amildar had the power of fining and levying double duty if the latter was within 20 Rs. and if above, the question was left to the Dy Comm.

Submitted for orders.

HB
29/11

Submd to the Hon Councillor for orders

LL
19/4/94

What is the object of insisting upon the return of Rahadaries? They are only intended for the protection of the merchants, & I do not see how their return serves any good purpose. If merchants forge Rahadaries they would rather lose the deposit than produce them.

As the Chief Secy has some experience, I should be glad if he will kindly draw up a note.

22/4/94

Subd to the Chief Secy
22/4/94

Sub Dr Rev: Commissioners

The present rules that Rahadaris shd be abrogated. The rule is often evaded and evasion of the rule has a demoralising effect. Evasion should be checked or the rule abolished

The abrogation of Rahadari enables Govt Officers to compare it with its counterfoil and to check credits with the acknowledgment granted.

A timely return of a Rahadari prevents it being used a second time fraudulently as it can be used with impunity before illiterate illiterate officials.

Altogether it is an incident abhorrent to the Sayer system & often condemned —

Mr 5 May 98

I consider that
the enforcement
of the return of
Rahadans ~~seems~~ is impossible &
deserves no good purpose —
I would simply
record the
Papers, & leave
the matters alone.

~~I do~~ say,
Recorded —

2. Question of
levying ~~garden~~ asst of Suburb gardens
~~are~~ is under the consideration
of Govt, & when it is settled
Revised rules for the levy of
Taxes will be issued, ~~that~~
the question of the ~~Rahadans~~
return of Rahadans will be
considered. No immediate
orders are necessary.

11/5/94

Mr Dravidian 12/5

DPD 13/5 WLK

LR(L-91

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3192
15 JUN. 92
178

1892.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated 13th June 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. –

General No. 27
No 2

Requests that some definite orders may be passed to punish defaulters failing to Return Sayer Rahadaris

---

Put up the report of 1884 and the orders of 1890 Repd to Dy Mr 15/6/92

Submitted to Chief Secy. The point herein raised must be provided for in the Rules under contemplation for the regulation of the collection of the revenue on Supari.

DMP 16/6/92

Mr Weir Upholding 17/6/92

The action in respect of Tangadagunk alluded to in para 7 of this letter

DMP 18/6/92

Please see papers Nos. 18 and Enclosure to No 4. These copies were obtd. from the Shimoga Genl's Office – MRK 8/12/92

Subject for the Dewan's orders – Should the great bother which sugar exporters are already put to should there be such that the Dy Cs suggestion is worthy of consideration In the case of Tangadagunk the deposit is taken back from the Dy Cs where head Quarters in most Districts are on the way when Tangadagunk is taken – But in the case of the Sayer Rahadaris the cases are wise as the merchants will have to return from the frontier to Dy Cs for the deposit – which will be very troublesome & time – I doubt that Rather moneys may be authorised to refund deposits paid Elsewhere in the first instance DMP 19/12

The Dy C's letter on the subject is in Kannada

A.J. 31
23602 21

Deputy Commrs. Office
Shimoga District.
13th June 1892.

(1)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to State that one Rachotippa of Honneli obtained two Sayer Rahadaries Nos. 1233 and 1234 at Sialkotta where he paid the duty on his Supari consigned to the former place. He failed not only to Shew the Rahadaries at the Katties enroute, and give them up at the final Katte at Honnali under the existing practice, but also made no report of the consignment at any of the Katties.

2. His failure was discover-
ed

some time after when the accounts of the Rahadaries issued were checked. On enquiry then he pleaded to have lost the Rahadaries.

3. Failures of this kind are of frequent occurrence, and there is nothing in the Rules as to how they should be dealt with. In fact defaults are made with impunity, as no punishment is provided therefor.

4. For the protection of the Sayer Revenue which is an important item in the Trichinopoly District, it is highly necessary that a provision should be made to punish the defaulters.

5. So far back as 1884 a reference was made to

Government on the Subject in this Office letter no. 206/156 dated the 23rd May last the orders of Government received thereon do not appear I Submit to mark the point in question.

6. I beg therefore to request that Some definite orders may be passed on the Subject.

7. In the case of Licences issued for Sangdi Bank, a deposit of Rupees 5 in each case is received, which the Licence holder forfeits if he Should not return the Licence in time. A Similar order may well mark the default to return the Sayer Rahadaries under advertence.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
Abdul Rahman, Khan Bahadur
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

x no. 7796-803/161 of 26th September 1890.

Draft

Rev-41-

1892.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 19*th December* 1892.

No. 9266-73.
R. 1175-92.

Inviting the opinion of the Deputy Commissioners on the suggestion of the Shimoga Deputy Commissioner that the Supari Merchants should be required to deposit a sum of Rs. 5 to ensure the timely return of the Sayer Rahadaris issued to them.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—19-1-93.—150.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 19th December 1892.

READ:—

Letter No. 276—264, dated the 13th June 1892, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, bringing to notice the frequent failure of supari merchants to return the Sayer Rahadaris obtained by them.

I have the honor to state that one Rachotippa of Honnali obtained two Sayer Rahadaris Nos. 1233 and 1234 at Siralkoppa where he paid the duty on his supari consigned to the former place. He failed not only to show the Rahadaris at the kattes enroute and give them up at the final katte at Honnali under the existing practice, but also made no report of the consignment at any of the kattes.

2. His failure was discovered sometime after when the accounts of the Rahadaris issued were checked. On enquiry then, he pleaded to have lost the Rahadaris.

3. Failures of this kind are of frequent occurrence, and there is nothing in the rules as to how they should be dealt with. In fact, defaults are made with impunity, as no punishment is provided therefor.

4. For the protection of the Sayer Revenue, which is an important item in the Malnad District, it is highly necessary that a provision should be made to punish the defaulters.

5. So far back as 1884 a reference was made to Government on the subject in this office letter No. 206—156, dated the 23rd May, but the orders* of Government received thereon do not appear, I submit, to meet the point in question.

*No. 7796-803—161, dated 26th September 1890.

6. I beg therefore to request that some definite orders may be passed on the subject.

7. In the case of licenses issued for Tangadi bark, a deposit of Rs. 5 in each case is received, which the license holder forfeits, if he should not return the license in time. A similar order may well meet the defaults to return the Sayer Rahadaris under advertence.

No. 9266-73—R. 1175-92, DATED, BANGALORE, 19TH DECEMBER 1892.

ORDER THEREON.—The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts are requested to favor the Government with their opinion on the suggestion made by Mr. Abdul Rahman in para 7 of the above letter.

It may be observed that the proposal of the Shimoga Deputy Commissioner would add to the great inconvenience to which supari exporters are already put. In the case of the Tangadi bark, the amount deposited is taken back from the Deputy Commissioners whose head-quarters in most Districts are on the way through which the bark is taken. But the case is otherwise as regards the Sayer Rahadari, as the merchant will have to return from the frontier to the District head-quarters to take back the deposit amount, which will be very troublesome to him. It is also a matter for consideration whether the Manegars of the final kattes to whom the Rahadaris have to be delivered could be safely entrusted with the power to refund deposits paid elsewhere.

The Government will defer passing final orders on the present reference, till the opinions of the other Deputy Commissioners are received.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts. } with 3 spare copies

35 copies for press

Exd.—A.N.S.

(2)

Proceedings of the Govt of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore

Read –

Letter No 276/264 dated the
13th June 1892 from the Dy Comr
Shimoga District bringing
to notice the frequent failure
of Supari merchants to
return the Sayer Rahadaris
obtained by them

(Vide in Extenso)

Order No 9266-73/R 1175-92 dated
19th December 1892.
24.

The Deputy Commissioners
of other Districts are requested
to favor the Govt with their
opinion on the suggestion
made by Mr Abdul C
Rahiman in para 7 of
the above letter –

The Offg Dewan remarks

It may be urged that the proposal of the Shimmsha Dafadar would add to ~~their the~~ ~~of consideration~~ but for the great inconvenience to which Sepahi deporters are already put. In the case of the Tenjadi bank the amount deposited is taken back from the Deputy Commissioner whose Head Quarters in most Districts are on the way through which the bank is taken. But the case is otherwise as regards the Sayer Bahadari as the merchant will have to show from the frontier to the Dist. Hd. Quarters to take back the deposit amount which will be very trouble some to him. It is also a matter for consideration whether ~~Whether~~ the Manager of the final Katies to whom the Bahadaris have to be delivered could may be safely entrusted with the power

~~authorised~~ to refund
deposits paid elsewhere. -

The Govt will defer
grant of final orders on the present reference
till the opinions of the other Governments are rec[illegible].

Chief Secretary

To The Dy Commrs of Districts

W 791
R 205

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Camp Challenballa 23rd January
1893.

No: 619.

To, R. 66-91-2

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Government -- Order No: 9266-73 R. 1175-92 of the 19th December -- 1892 (received to day) calling for opinion on the suggestion of the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga to levy a deposit of 5 Rupees on each Sayar Rahadary with the view of ensuring the due return of the same -- in time, on pain of forfeiture of the deposit on default, I beg to state that the instances of default referred to by the Deputy Commissioner are not uncommon here and that the want of some such rule as has been suggested is felt here also. I therefore beg to agree with that Officer in his suggestion.

2

2 In regard to para 2 of the Order under reply I beg to state that the deposits made for the due return of the Tangady bark licenses are not, as supposed, returned by the Deputy Commissioners enroute, but by the Officers with whom such deposits are made.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

No. 2290/533

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Dated 25th/28th January 1893.

To

HR66-91-64

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 9266-73/R. 1175-92 dated the 19th December 1892 inviting opinion on the suggestion of the Shemoga Deputy Commissioner that the Supari merchants should be required to deposit a sum of Rs 5 to ensure the timely return of the Sayer Rahadaries issued to them, I have the honor to state that the arrangement proposed is beneficial in as much as it would prevent Supari being smuggled under cover of one and the same license. The deposit may be kept in the Taluk where the merchant resides or takes his pass as in the case of

Dangadi

Tangadi bark.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

E Marshall

Deputy Commissioner.

Recd

No. 460. Ex:
427. "

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldrug District
Chitaldrug 17th February
1893.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 9266-73 & 1175-92 dated 19th December last calling for an opinion on the suggestion made by Mr. Abdul Rymon to receive a deposit of Rs. 5 in case of Layer Bahadaries, I have the honor to state that though the rules enjoin the delivery of the Bahadaries at the final Kuttayp, yet their observance is more honored in their breach than in their performance. Unless some effective penalty is attached it is hard to enforce the same, more especially, as there are no Layer Kuttayp in these Maidan parts. It seems to me to be highly desirable that some such provision

as suggested by Mr Abdul Rahiman should be made for ensuring the punctual return of the Rahadaries so that there may be no room for malpractices and consequent loss to Government -

2. - In the case of Shangadi bark a deposit of 5 Rs for each License is made in the Treasuries of the Taluks in which the bark is collected and the amount is returned from the same Treasuries when passes are delivered up in time without reference to the deputy Commissioner. The same course may be adopted in the case of Sayer Rahadaries. The Rahadaries may be returned in time by post or otherwise, but the merchants may be allowed to receive back their deposits from the Taluk Treasuries within 6 months from the date of deposit according to their convenience -

3. - I beg to add that two rates of deposits, viz, Rs 2 and 5 may be

fixed as it may be difficult for purchasers of small quantities of Supari to deposit Rs 5. Those that purchase below 10 maunds may be allowed to deposit Rs 2 and those above that quantity Rs 5.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Offg: Deputy Commissioner

L 6/2

No 425
Enc: 1

No 9134
R 2320

Office of Deputy Commissioner
of Kadur
Chikmagalur, 13/15th February 1893.

HR 66-91-6

From
The Deputy Commissioner
of Kadur

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to Government Proceedings No. 9266-73/R. 1175-92 dt/ 19th December 1892, requesting opinion in the matter of deposits to ensure prompt and timely return of Sayer Rhadaries, I have the honor to state that I am not in favor of the proposal for the following reasons:—

(1) The inconvenience that would be caused to the Merchants thereby as remarked by Government, and

(2) The system would entail

an advance being made to the Managers of the different Khattés which would necessarily entail complicity of cash accounts when small payments and recompments are made, as also if advances were made to these Managers some security would have to be obtained from them and deposit made in the Treasury, and if enforced I think it would be extremely hard to procure substantial men to fill these posts on the present very small pay.

2. In lieu of this proposed system of cash deposits I would beg to propose that a surety, who is a resident of the country, be

taken on the spot for the due return of the Khadari, such surety agreeing to pay a fixed sum per maund consigned, and the amount recovered, if necessary, when the Khadaries are checked in the Head-Quarter Office.

3. In the event of Merchants being unable to produce these sureties, I would recommend the system of cash deposits, but as the number of such Merchants is expected to be very small very little inconvenience would be caused thereby; and I believe that when the rules enforcing sureties be made generally known, the merchants would find no great inconvenience in producing the required surety. In this latter case the Manigars of the Jaidal Khattis must be entrusted with the power

to refund deposits paid elsewhere.

4. Should these views meet with the approval of Government it would be found very convenient if certain forms were prescribed and printed for the use of the Kwangara, samples of which I beg to enclose.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obt. Servant,

H H Sparke

Deputy Commissioner

Kaduna Dt.

Encl.

To,

The Government of Mysore.

Whereas I . . . . . .

son of . . . . . living at . . . .

have received this day . . . . . .

a Sayer Rahadari $\frac{\text{Free}}{\text{Paid}}$ No. 01. . .

from . . . . . for the transit

of . . . . . arecanut to . . . .

I hereby bind myself to return it

to the . . . . . of . . . . . .

on or before the . . . . day of . . . . and

duly obtain his receipt for such delivery, and

in case of default to forfeit to the

Government of Mysore the sum of

Rupees . . . . . .

In the event of my failing to

pay up the amount, the said sum

may be recovered under rules pre-

scribed for recovery of Land Revenue.

Form of Security to be subjoined

to the Bond of the Principal.

I. [full designation, occupation & residence]. hereby

declare myself surety for the above

said . . . . . that he shall

do and perform all that he has above

undertaken to do and perform, and

in case of his making default
therein I hereby bind myself
to forfeit to the Government of
Inpoor the sum of Rupees . . . . .

H H Parker
Deputy Commissioner,
Kaira District.

Accd

Dy Commissioners' Office.
Bangalore District.
Dated 23rd February 1893.

D. No. 821.

LR 66-91-1

To
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to the Proceedings of the Government No. 9266-73 dated 19th December 1892, R. 1175-92 calling on Deputy Commissioners' to report on Mr. Abdul Rahman's proposal to require a deposit of Rs: 5/. to ensure the return of a Sayar Rahdari, I have the honor to state that the difficulties pointed out by the Government are sufficiently serious to negative the desirability of the proposed rule. I am afraid the consequences of a Sayer Rahdari not being returned are somewhat exaggerated. If no more than

than is absolutely necessary for the transit is allowed in the Rahdari, such a Rahdari cannot be made available for more than one transport and therefore there can possibly be no room for any fraud. The case is of course different with licenses for forest-produce as they necessarily cover the time of collection and of transport.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

M Mangelleson
Dy Commissioner.

WM

HR 66-91-8

Dy Commissioners Office
Kapan District
Dated Kapan 18th April 1893

No. 1018
Desp. No 11900
R 2938

From,
P. R. Purnaiya Esqre.
Dy Commissioner
Kapan District

To,
The Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore,

Sir,

With reference to
Govt. Pros. No. 9266-93 of
R. 1175-92
the 19th December last, I have
the honor to submit that
preliminary deposit of 5 Rs.
proposed may not effectually
answer the purpose of dis-
-couraging defaults in
returning Rahadaries in
time. Where the consignment
is large and with the Commi-
-vance of the lower Subordi-
-nate Rahadary is obtained

for only a small portion of it, the smuggled quantity representing the difference is more than what the 5 Rs would represent as duty leviable thereon, it will not be to the interest of the consignor to return the Pahadari at all; for, should his duplicate show a larger quantity as it should, than the original, he will be incriminating himself, that is to say, furnishing evidence against himself in returning his duplicate. For Example, let the quantity consigned be one hundred maunds, the original Pahadary showing only twenty maunds, the duplicate showing the true quantity of one hundred maunds. Smuggled quantity is Eighty maunds, evaded duty is 60 Rs. Therefore

it will be his interest to let go the forfeit of five Rs in rather than return the duplicate showing his own fraud.

On the other hand where the consignment is small, as is the case in this District, the deposit of 5 Rs would disable many a petty merchant from carrying his two or three maunds of Supari to sell in the weekly Shandis from which he makes a living. -

I would therefore submit for consideration whether it may not be better to subject every defaulting man to a fine after notice to show cause against it. This pro-cedure would at the onset be somewhat laborious but would very soon check the practice as every person who obtains a Rahadary will

be brought to account.
A few rules under authority
providing for summoning
the party to show cause and
for imposing a fine on a
summary departmental
enquiry only confined to
the non return of the Rahadary
in time will give ample
power to the Revenue Exe-
- cutive. -

The case of Tangadi bark
is some what dissimilar
as has been already noticed
by Government. -

I have the honor Hole,
Sir,
Your most obt Servt
" "

D Burnett

Dy Commissioner
Kaparada

No. 418

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 4th October 1893.

From

S. Rungaswami Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 9266-73 / R. 1175-92 dated 19th December last, inviting opinion on the suggestion of the Shimoga Deputy Commissioner that Lapani merchants should be required to deposit a sum of Rupees 5/- to ensure the timely return of the Layer Rahadaries issued to them, I have the honour to submit herewith an abstract of the opinions expressed by the Assistant Commissioners and Amildars of the District, so far as reports have been received. The Sub-Division Officer, French Rocks, whose report is shortly expected, will be communicated on receipt.

I have the honour to be,
Your most obedient servant,
Deputy Commissioner.

Compd: 4th.

Memorandum.

The Amildar of Heggadadévankoté Taluk states that the sapari merchants would, no doubt, be put to much inconvenience if they are made to deposit the amount as prescribed by Government. To avoid the delay that will be occasioned by them in returning the Rahadaries in due time, the best remedy would be to add a foot note in the Rahadaries stating that the sapari merchants failing to return them in due time would be criminally prosecuted as is done in the case of dadé leaves, bamboos, &c., removed from jungles in accordance with the Forest Rules.

The Amildar of Yédatoré Taluk states that such kind of trade is not carried on so extensively in this part of the country as is done in Nagara and other places. Anyhow, he thinks that those who pay high duty may deposit Rs. 5/- per cent and deliver the Rahadaries given to them to the Taluk lying enroute the boundary and receive back their

deposit amount on production of a receipt obtained from the Taluk in which he deposited the amount. In case such a Taluk lies at a distance from the final "Kattas", the merchants no sooner they return the Rahadaries given to them within the prescribed time, the amount so deposited may be returned to their address by Public Service Transfer Receipt if their destination is within the province, if elsewhere by means of money order. It will be better if a certain amount is kept as impreat in those Taluks to meet the demands, and fix a deposit amount at 5 per cent if the duty does not exceed 100 rupees. On the whole he is of opinion that to have a deposit from the merchants is good, inasmuch as it saves the delay and gives no room for any foul play.

The Amildar of Mysore Taluk states that it is better to have a deposit of 5 rupees from the merchants and to make known to them that in case of their failure to return the Rahadaries given to them within the time allowed, the amount so deposited would be confiscated and brought to the credit of Government.

this procedure, he says, will, of course, put a stop to all the irregularities that are in existence and may be brought into force.

The 3rd General Assistant to Commissioner states that Government while approving the suggestion of the Shimoga Deputy Commissioner seem to apprehend that some inconvenience and difficulty might arise in the matter of the refund of deposits made by supari exporters and that such inconvenience may be avoided by remitting the amount to depositor either by postal money order in case his destination is out of Mysore Province or by local Treasury cheque.

The Amildar of Kunsur Taluk states that no such deposit is needed from the supari merchants inasmuch as there is a good deal of difference between the exporters of tangadi bark and those of supari. The following are his reasons in support of his opinion.

(1) Tangadi bark is exported by those who are either natives of the place from which it is exported or those who have settled in it for some time past. Hence, if they fail to return the Rahadaries issued to them,

in due time, they may reasonably forfeit the amount they have deposited. Moreover tangadi bark is all exported to Bangalore only.

(2) It is very rare that supari is exported by the growers themselves. In most cases, especially in the Shimoga District, it is the merchants of Her Majesty's Districts that export it on a large scale. Petty merchants living in places where weekly markets are usually held, export supari in small quantities. These, rarely, if at all, return to the place from which they export the article. In such cases, even if the Rahadaries are issued at the frontier Kattay, the amount deposited cannot be repaid as there will be no Imprest money at the Kattay. Thus, the merchants will have to forfeit the deposit money from no fault of theirs.

According to old rules, in all cases of smuggling, the Amildar had the power of fining and levying double duty, if the latter was within 20 Rs., and the Deputy Commissioner, if it exceeded 20 Rs. The present rules do not provide any punishment to the defaulters. Hence the necessity for such a provision. As the interval between

the

time in which the Rahadari is issued and re:
:ceived back for counter file, much room is
afforded for smuggling; hence some provision
compelling the return of Rahadaries is deemed
necessary.

The 2nd General Assistant Commissioner
states that the levy of a fee of 5 Rs. for the due
return of the Rahadari will put the dealers
in arecanut to much trouble and inconvenience
and at last the owners of arecanut gardens
will suffer. This, he says, will discourage are:
:canut cultivation to a great extent as the
arecanut gardens are already subjected
to heavy assessment in addition to Sayer
duty. He further states that the duty of return:
:ing the fee will be entrusted to Taluk and
village Officials who will not certainly return
the amount without giving cause for com:
:plaints. Therefore he opines that the levy of
a fee of Rs. 5 is not necessary, as this
will give room to petty oppressions which
will not be very easy to detect.

Submitted.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

JR66

14th May 1894.

19

Proceedings of the Govt. of Mysore

Read:-

Letter No. 276/264 dy 13-6-92 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, recommending the levy of a deposit of Rs 5 on each Sayer Rahadary to secure its timely return, as under the present practice the Rahadaries are, very often, never returned at the final Katte as required by the existing rules, which contain, however, no provision for punishing defaulters.

2. Government Proceedings No. 9266-73/R 1175-92 dy 19-12-92 inviting the opinion of Dy Commissioners on the above suggestion and the following replies thereto:-

i Letter No. 821 Dy 23-2-93 from
the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore

ii Letter No. 2290/533 Dy 25-1-93
from Dy Commissioner Kolar.

iii Letter No. 619 Dy 23-1-93
from Dy Commissioner Tumkur.

iv. Letter No. 425 Dy 13-2-93 from
Dy Commissioner, Kadur,

v. Letter No. 460/427 Dy 11-2-93 from
Dy Commissioner, Chitaldrug.

vi Letter No. 1018/Ley Dy 18-4-93 from
Dy Commissioner, Hassan.

vii Letter No. 418 Dy 4-10-93 from
Dy Commissioner, Mysore.

- Order - No. 19943-50/RT320-92 dt 17.5.94.

Recorded:

2. The question of revising
assesment of supari gardens
is under the consideration of

Government, and when it is settled, revised rules for the levy of sayer will be issued, and the question of the return of Rahadaries will be considered. No immediate orders are necessary.

17 May

Chief Secretary

C.M.V.

To

The Dy Comm: Shimoga.

" Dy Comm:s of other Districts